UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	v
In re REFCO, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	: Case No. 07-MD-1902 (GEL) :
This Document Re	
	X
KENNETH M. KRYS and CHRISTOPHER	:
STRIDE, as JOINT OFFICIAL LIQUIDATORS	:
of SPHINX LTD., SPHINX STRATEGY FUND	: Case No. 08-cv-3065 (GEL)
LTD.;	:
SPHINX PLUS SPC LTD., SPHINX	: Case No. 08-cv-3086 (GEL)
DISTRESSED LTD., SPHINX MERGER	:
ARBITRAGE LTD.; SPHINX SPECIAL	: NOTICE OF ENDODGEMENT
SITUATIONS LTD., SPHINX MACRO LTD.;	: NOTICE OF ENDORSEMENT
SPHINX LONG/SHORT EQUITY LTD.; SPHINX MANAGED FUTURES LTD.; SPHINX	
EQUITY MARKET NEUTRAL LTD.; SPHINX	•
CONVERTIBLE ARBITRAGE LTD.; SPHINX	•
FIXED INCOME ARBITRAGE LTD.; SPHINX	
DISTRESSED FUND SPC; SPHINX MERGER	:
ARBITRAGE FUND SPC; SPHINX SPECIAL	:
SITUATIONS FUND SPC; SPHINX MACRO	:
FUND SPC; SPHINX LONG/SHORT EQUITY	:
FUND SPC; SPHINX MANAGED FUTURES	:
FUND SPC; SPHINX EQUITY MARKET	:
NEUTRAL FUND SPC; SPHINX	:
CONVERTIBLE ARBITRAGE FUND SPC;	:
SPHINX FIXED INCOME ARBITRAGE FUND	:
SPC; PLUSFUNDS MANAGED ACCESS FUND	:
SPC LTD.; KENNETH M. KRYS and	:
CHRISTOPHER STRIDE as assignees of claims	:
assigned by MIAMI CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL FOUNDATION, OFI, GREEN & SMITH	· ·
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT LLC, THALES	•
FUND MANAGEMENT LLC, KELLNER	•
DILEO & CO., LLC, MARTINGALE ASSET	•
MANAGEMENT LP, LONGACRE FUND	:
MANAGEMENT LLC, ARNHOLD & S.	:
BLEICHROEDER ADVISERS LLC, PICTET &	:
CIE, RGA AMERICA REINSURANCE	:
COMPANY, DEUTSCHE BANK (SUISSE) SA,	:
ARAB MONETARY FUND, HANSARD	:
INTERNATIONAL LTD CONCORDIA	

INTERNATIONAL LTD., CONCORDIA

ADVISORS LLC, GABELLI SECURITIES, INC., CITCO GLOBAL CUSTODY; and JAMES P. SINCLAIR as Trustee of the SPHINX TRUST.

:

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CHRISTOPHER SUGRUE; MARK KAVANAGH; BRIAN OWENS; PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS L.L.P.; MARI FERRIS: PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CAYMAN ISLANDS; GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP; REFCO ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS LLC; GRANT THORNTON LLP; MARK RAMLER; ERNST & YOUNG U.S. LLP; MAYER BROWN LLP f/k/a MAYER BROWN ROWE & MAW LLP; JOSEPH COLLINS; EDWARD S. BEST; PAUL KOURY; PHILLIP R. BENNETT; ROBERT C. TROSTEN; TONE GRANT; SANTO MAGGIO; THOMAS HACKL; DENNIS KLEJNA; BAWAG P.S.K. BANK FUR ARBEIT UND WIRTSCHAFT UND OSTERREICHISCHE POSTPARKASSE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT; JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.; CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC f/k/a CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON LLC; BANC OF AMERICA SECURITIES LLC; THOMAS H. LEE PARTNERS, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE ADVISORS, LLC; THL MANAGERS V, LLC; THL EQUITY ADVISORS V, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY FUND V. L.P.: THOMAS H. LEE PARALLEL FUND V, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY (CAYMAN) FUND V, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE INVESTORS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; 1997 THOMAS H. LEE NOMINEE TRUST; THOMAS H. LEE; DAVID V. HARKINS; SCOTT L. JAECKEL; SCOTT A. SCHOEN; WILLIAM T. PIGOTT; LIBERTY CORNER CAPITAL STRATEGIES, LLC: EMF FINANCIAL PRODUCTS LLC; EMF CORE FUND LTD.; DELTA FLYER FUND LLC; ERIC M. FLANAGAN; INGRAM MICRO, INC.; CIM VENTURES, INC.; BECKENHAM TRADING CO., INC.; ANDREW KRIEGER; COAST

ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC, f/k/a COAST ASSET MANAGEMENT LP; CS LAND MANAGEMENT LLC; CHRISTOPHER PETTIT; and REFCO GROUP HOLDINGS, INC.; and REFCO ASSOCIATES, INC.,

Defendants. :

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Paragraph 30 of the Amended Stipulation and Agreed Confidentiality Order (the "Stipulation and Order"), entered by the Honorable Gerard E. Lynch on February 8, 2008 and annexed hereto as Exhibit A, Deloitte & Touche LLP has executed the Endorsement annexed hereto as Exhibit B.

Dated: New York, New York August 5, 2008

BROWN RUDNICK LLP

By: /s Andrew Dash
Andrew Dash, Esq.
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10019-6754
Telephone: (212) 209-4811

Page 3 of 9

Facsimile: (212) 209-4801

Email: adash@brownrudnick.com

TO:

John C. Browne, Esq.
Berstein Litowitz Berger &
Grossman LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
Attorneys for RH Capital LLC
And Co-Lead Counsel for the Prospective Class

Sascha Rand, Esq.
Quinn Emmanuel Urquhart
Oliver & Hedges LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as
Trustee of the Refco Litigation Trust
and Refco Private Actions Trust

Megan D. McIntyre, Esq.
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.
Chase Manhattan Centre
1201 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Pacific
Investment Management Company, LLC
And Co-Lead Counsel for the
Prospective Class

Philip D. Anker, Esq.
Wilmer Cutler Pickering
Hale & Dorr LLP
399 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Attorneys for Defendants JP Morgan
Chase & Co., Credit Suisse Securities
(USA) LLC (formerly Credit Suisse First
Boston LLC) and Banc of America

David Mollón, Esq. Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 Attorneys for Defendant Grant Thornton LLP

Matthew J. Sava, Esq. Shapiro Forman Allen & Sava LLP 380 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10017 Attorneys for Defendants Joseph J. Murphy and Gerald M. Sherer

Richard Cashman, Esq. Heller Ehrman LLP Times Square Tower 7 Times Square New York, NY 10036 Attorneys for Defendant Philip Silverman

Ivan Kline, Esq. Friedman & Wittenstein 600 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 Attorneys For Defendant William M. Sexton

Laura E. Neish, Esq. Zuckerman Spaeder LLP 1540 Broadway, Suite 1604 New York, New York 10036 Attorneys for Defendant Tone Grant

James J. Capra, Jr., Esq. Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 666 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10103-0001 Attorneys for Defendants PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and Mari Ferris

Greg A. Danilow, Esq. Weil Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Attorneys for the "THL" and "Audit Committee" Defendants

Blake T. Hannafan, Esq. Hannafan & Hannafan, Ltd. One East Wacker Drive **Suite 2800** Chicago, IL 60601 Attorneys for Defendant Tone Grant Jeffrey T. Golenbock, Esq. Golenbock Eiseman Assor Bell & Peskoe LLP 437 Madison Avenue, 35th Floor New York, NY 10022-7302 Attorneys for Defendants Philip R. Bennett, Refco Group Holdings Inc., and Phillip R. Bennett Three Year Annuity Trust

Helen B. Kim, Esq. Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP 2029 Century Park East Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012 Attorneys for Defendant Dennis Klejna

Richard Soto, Esq. Hunton & Williams LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166-0091 Attorneys for Defendant Santo C. Maggio

Richard E. Nathan, Esq. Nathan Law Office 123 South June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 Attorneys for Defendant Dennis Klejna

Aaron E. Albert, Esq.
Fischer Porter Thomas & Reinfeld, P.C.
180 Sylvan Avenue, 2nd Floor
Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632
Attorneys for Defendants Beckenham
Trading Company, Inc. and
Andrew Krieger

Christopher R. Harris, Esq.
Latham & Watkins LLP
885 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Attorneys for Defendant Ernst & Young LLP

Sander Bak, Esq.
Milbank, Tweed, Hadley
& McCloy LLP
1 Chase Manhattan Plaza
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as Trustee
of the Refco Litigation Trust and
Refco Private Actions Trust, for Capital
Management and VR Plaintiffs, and
Co-Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiffs and the
Putative Class in In re Refco Capital
Markets, Ltd. Brokerage Customer Securities Lit.

Thomas G. Ward, Esq.
Williams & Connolly LLP
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Attorneys for Defendants Mayer Brown LLP
and Edward S. Best

William J. Schwartz, Esq.
Cooley Godward Kronish LLP
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-7798
Attorneys for Defendant Joseph P. Collins

Charles E. Clayman, Esq.
Clayman & Rosenberg
305 Madison Avenue
Suite 1301
New York, NY 10165
Attorneys for Defendant Paul Koury

Robert F. Wise, Jr., Esq.
Davis Polk & Wardwell
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
Attorneys for Defendants Ingram
Micro, Inc. and CIM Ventures, Inc.

Micah E. Marcus, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis LLP 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601-6636 Attorneys for Defendants Coast Asset Management LLC and CS Land Management LLC

Anthony M. Candido, Esq. Clifford Chance US LLP 31 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 Attorneys for the UK Limited Liability Partnership Mayer Brown International LLP

Nicolle Jacoby, Esq. Dechert LLP 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Attorneys for Defendant BAWAG

David W. Wiltenburg, Esq. Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP One Battery Park Plaza New York, New York 10004 Counsel to Defendant PricewaterhouseCoopers Cayman Islands

Gregory P. Joseph, Esq. Gregory P. Joseph Law Offices LLC 485 Lexington Ave, 30th Floor New York, New York 10017 Counsel to Defendant Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Barbara Moses, Esq. Morvillo, Abramowitz, Grand, Iason, Anello, & Bohrer, P.C. 565 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10017 Counsel to Defendant Robert C. Trosten John D. Tortorella, Esq.
Marino Tortorella PC
437 Southern Boulevard
Chatham, New Jersey 07928
Counsel to Defendants Liberty Corner
Capital Strategies, LLC and William T. Pigott

Veronica E. Rendon, Esq. Arnold & Porter LLP 399 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022-4690 Counsel to Defendants Eric M. Flanagan, EMF Financial Products, LLC and Delta Flyer Fund, LLC

Ronald M. Lepinskas, Esq. DLA Piper 203 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1900 Chicago, Illinois 60601-1293 Counsel to Defendant Christopher Petitt

Jared R. Clark, Esq.
Bingham McCutchen LLP
399 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10022-4689
Counsel to Defendants Refco Associates, Inc.
and Refco Alternative Investments LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DOCUMENT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKELECTRONICALLY FILED

USDC SDNY

DATE FILED

DOC #:

This Document Relates To:

In re REFCO, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

05 Civ. 8626 (GEL)

AMERICAN FINANCIAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP- ASIA, LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

05 Civ. 8988 (GEL)

vs.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY FUND V, L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

05 Civ. 9608 (GEL)

vs.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

In re REFCO CAPITAL MARKETS, LTD. **BROKERAGE CUSTOMER SECURITIES** LITIGATION

06 Civ. 643 (GEL)

THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY FUND V, L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 6767 (GEL)

VS.

MAYER BROWN ROWE & MAW LLP, et al.,

Defendants.

THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY FUND V, L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 8663 (GEL)

vs.

GRANT THORNTON LLP,

Defendants.

AXIS REINSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 7924 (GEL)

vs.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

In re REFCO, INC. 07 Civ. 9420 (GEL) VR GLOBAL PARTNERS, L.P., et al., Plaintiffs, 07 Civ. 8686 (GEL) VS. PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al., Defendants. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SELECT FUND LTD., et al., 07 Civ. 8688 (GEL) Plaintiffs, vs. PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al., Defendants. MARC S. KIRSCHNER, as Trustee of the Refco Litigation Trust, 07 Civ. 7074(GEL) Plaintiffs, vs. THOMAS H. LEE PARTNERS, L.P., et al., Defendants.

MARC S. KIRSCHNER, as Trustee of the Refco Private Action Trust,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 8165 (GEL)

vs.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

MARC S. KIRSCHNER, as Trustee of the Refco Litigation Trust,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 9238 (GEL)

VS.

THOMAS HACKL, et al.,

Defendants.

MARC S. KIRSCHNER, as Trustee of the Refco Litigation Trust,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 11604 (GEL)

VS.

GRANT THORNTON, LLP, et al.,

Defendants.

AMENDED STIPULATION AND AGREED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the parties hereto. through their undersigned counsel, that the following provisions of this Amended Stipulation and Agreed Confidentiality Order (the "Stipulation and Order") shall govern disclosure and use by the parties of all documents, testimony, exhibits, interrogatory answers, responses to requests to admit and any other materials and information ("Discovery Materials") in the above-referenced actions (the "Related Actions"), including documents from the files of Refco Inc. and those of its direct and indirect subsidiaries that filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Refco Debtors") which are being made available for inspection and copying by the Trustee of the Refco Litigation Trust (the "Trustee").2 In order to expedite the production of documents and materials maintained by the Refco Debtors (the "Refco Debtor Discovery Materials),3 the parties hereby stipulate and agree to the additional procedures set forth below governing the disclosure of the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials.

The inclusion at this time of parties to the action captioned Kirschner v. Grant Thornton LLP et al, No. 07 Civ. 5306 (the "Illinois Action") to this Stipulation and Order is voluntary and without prejudice to the rights of any such party including, but not limited to, the Litigation Trustee's motion to remand the Illinois Action to state court. This Stipulation and Order also shall not prejudice the PAT Trustee's motion to remand the action captioned Kirschner v. Bennett et al. No. 07 Civ. 8165 (GEL) to New York state court.

² As used herein, "Refco Debtors" shall have the same meaning ascribed to it in the Modified Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Refco Inc. and Certain of its Direct and Indirect Subsidiaries, as approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York in December 2006, except that as used in this Stipulation and Order, "Refco Debtors" will also include Refco Capital Markets, Ltd. ("RCM").

Refco Debtor Discovery Materials include: (a) electronic documents (the "Refco Debtor Electronic Documents") and hard copy files that were collected from Refco at around the time the Refco Debtors filed for bankruptcy, and (b) Refco hard copy documents created on or before October 17, 2007, which documents are currently maintained in storage facilities (the "Refco Debtor Hard Copy Documents"). Refco Debtor Discovery Materials may include documents or materials belonging to Refco entities that did not file for relief under the Bankruptcy Code (the "Refco Non-Debtors") over which the Trustee does not have authority or control.

Filed 08/05/2008

- When used in this Stipulation and Order, the word "document" shall have 1. the meaning under Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 26.3 of the Local Civil Rules of the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York, and shall include, without limitation, all original written, recorded, electronic or graphic materials, and all copies, duplicates, or abstracts thereof, including, but not limited to, notes on documents. A party, person, or entity that produces or discloses Discovery Materials in connection with the Related Actions shall be referred to herein as the "Disclosing Party."
- 2. All Discovery Materials produced or disclosed in the Related Actions shall be used solely for the prosecution or the defense (including any appeal therefrom) of such actions, or any other action which the Court has directed, or the parties hereto have agreed, should have coordinated discovery with the Related Actions, and shall not be used for any other purpose. Any person or entity in possession of Confidential Discovery Materials (as defined below) shall maintain those materials in a reasonably secure manner so that they are not further disclosed or used in any manner inconsistent with this Stipulation and Order.
- Except for Refco Debtor Discovery Materials, any Discovery Materials 3. produced or disclosed in connection with the Related Actions by a Disclosing Party that the Disclosing Party in good faith believes to constitute, include, contain, refer or relate to either: (1) trade secret or other confidential research, development, financial, proprietary or commercial information that may be subject to a protective order under F.R.C.P. 26(c)(7); or (2) confidential, non-public personal information concerning individuals such as, without limitation, social security numbers, home telephone numbers and addresses, tax returns, personnel evaluations, and medical, credit, and banking information, may be designated "Confidential" by the Disclosing Party. Except as provided for in paragraph 4 below, the designation by any

Disclosing Party of Discovery Material as "Confidential" shall constitute a representation that such Discovery Material has actually been reviewed by an attorney for the Disclosing Party and that there is a good faith basis for such designation. Discovery Materials designated "Confidential" shall be referred to herein as "Confidential Discovery Materials."

- 4. Given the volume of Refco Debtor Discovery Materials, the parties agree that to expedite production, neither the Trustee nor any other custodian of Refco Debtor Discovery Materials shall be required to (a) assess whether a specific document within the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials should be designated as "Confidential" at or prior to the time those materials are made available to the parties, or (b) to mark the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials (by stamping or otherwise) as "Confidential." Trustee's counsel will instead designate as "Confidential" categories of documents within the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials that the Trustee's counsel believes in good faith will likely constitute, include, contain, refer or relate to either: (1) trade secret or other confidential research, development, financial, proprietary or commercial information that may be subject to a protective order under F.R.C.P. 26(c)(7) including, but not limited to, information relating to former Refco employees or customers; or (2) confidential, non-public personal information concerning individuals including, but not limited to, information relating to former Refco employees or customers. Trustee's counsel may also designate specific documents as "Confidential." All documents and categories of documents designated as "Confidential" by Trustee's counsel shall be Confidential Discovery Materials for the purposes of this Order.
- The following categories of Refco Debtor Discovery Materials which 5. designation is not to the exclusion of any other categories of documents that Trustee's counsel

may designate as "Confidential" - are hereby designated "Confidential" and shall be treated as such in accordance with the terms of this Order:

- non-public personal information concerning Refco employees or (a) customers of Refco including, without limitation, social security numbers, home telephone numbers and addresses, tax returns, personnel evaluations, and medical, credit, and banking information; and
- account opening documents, customer statements or other **(b)** documentation issued to, or submitted by, customers of any Refco entity, including but not limited to daily and monthly statements issued to customers of Refco Capital Markets, Ltd. ("RCM"), that reflect the trading strategy of any customer.
- Trustee's counsel shall give notice of any additional "Confidential" 6. designations as soon as reasonably practical after Trustee's counsel becomes aware of a specific document or category of Refco Debtor Discovery Materials that Trustee's counsel believes in good faith likely contains confidential information as described in paragraph 3 herein. Trustee's counsel shall use their best efforts to describe any additional category of documents to be treated as "Confidential" with sufficient specificity such that a party can reasonably determine whether a particular document should be considered "Confidential" or not. To the extent a party believes the description of a category of confidential Refco Debtor Discovery Materials is not sufficiently specific, Trustee's counsel will confer in good faith with the party to refine the description. Following this meet and confer, should a party continue to believe that the description of a category is not sufficiently specific, the party shall submit the issue to the Court under the procedure set forth in paragraph 23 below. All parties undertake to use their good faith judgment in determining whether specific Refco Debtor Discovery Materials fall within a category designated Confidential by the Trustee and should properly be afforded treatment as Confidential. To the extent a party is unsure whether specific Refco Debtor Discovery Materials fall into a category of documents that have been designated "Confidential" by the Trustee, the

party may, but shall not be required to, provide a list of any such materials to the Trustee's counsel and Trustee's counsel shall, within fourteen (14) days, advise the inquiring party in writing whether or not the materials are designated "Confidential."

- 7. The Court recognizes that the method of designating Refco Debtor Discovery Materials as Confidential is necessary and reasonable in the circumstances. Accordingly, any person seeking relief from the Trustee for any failure to designate a category of Refco Debtor Discovery Materials as Confidential, or relief from any party to this agreement for any alleged failure to treat a specific Refco Debtor document as Confidential, has the burden of demonstrating that the failure to designate or the failure to treat the document as Confidential was unreasonable and lacking in good faith.
- If Trustee's counsel gives notice that certain additional categories Refco 8. Debtor Discovery Materials or specific Refco Debtor documents are designated "Confidential," all such additional documents, materials or testimony so designated shall be subject to this Stipulation and Order as if they had been initially designated as Confidential to the extent that such documents, materials or testimony fall within the definition of Confidential Discovery Materials, provided, however, that the foregoing provision shall not apply to any documents that had already otherwise become publicly available.
- The Parties agree that the designation of any Discovery Material as 9. Confidential is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that the Discovery Material is relevant, not subject to an applicable privilege or protection, admissible in any of the Related Actions, or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 10. Any party receiving Confidential Discovery Materials may disclose or make available such information only to the following persons:

- the Court, any court to which a party petitions for discovery of a non-(a) party, any appellate court, necessary court personnel, and jurors;
- **(b)** the named parties in the Related Actions (including in-house counsel, officers, directors and employees of the named parties who are involved in the management of the Related Actions and solely for the purpose of the prosecution, defense or resolution of such actions);
- outside counsel for the named parties for the purpose of the prosecution, (c) defense or resolution of the Related Actions;
- professional court reporters, stenographers or video operators transcribing (d) depositions or testimony in the Related Actions;
- persons who are indicated on the face of the document to have been (e) authors or recipients of the Confidential Discovery Materials;
- any outside expert, advisor or consultant retained by any named party in (f) connection with the Related Actions;
- any person who is a fact witness or potential fact witness (and counsel for (g) such witness) to the extent reasonably necessary in connection with their testimony in the Related Actions or the preparation thereof; provided, however, that a person identified solely in this subparagraph shall not be permitted to retain copies of such Confidential Discovery Materials;
- outside photocopying, data processing, graphic production services, (h) litigation support services, or investigators employed by the parties or their counsel to assist in the Related Actions and computer personnel performing duties in relation to a computerized litigation system;

- any mediator or arbitrator engaged by the named parties in connection (i) with the Related Actions: and
- (i) other persons only after notice to all parties and upon order of the Court, or upon written consent of the Disclosing Party.

The terms "counsel," "expert," "advisor," and "investigator" include their staff who are assigned to and necessary to assist such counsel, expert, advisor or investigator in connection with the Related Actions.

- 11. Each party agrees that any documents they produced with a designation of "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" pursuant to the First Amended Protective Order Governing the Production and Use of Confidential Material entered ordered by Judge Drain on March 19, 2007 (the "Rule 2004 Amended Discovery Protective Order"), and all "Underwriter Rule 2004 Documents" as defined in, and produced pursuant to, the Supplemental Confidentiality Stipulation and Order Governing Production, Review and Handling of Underwriter Documents ordered by Judge Drain on June 14, 2007, shall be considered Confidential Discovery Material hereunder and treated as such for all purposes. Any documents produced without a confidential designation pursuant to the Rule 2004 Discovery Protective Order shall be treated as Discovery Material hereunder and treated as such for all purposes.
- 12. Except in the case of Refco Debtor Discovery Materials, the Confidential designation set forth in paragraph 3 of this Stipulation and Order may be made at or prior to the time of production of documents by stamping or otherwise marking the Discovery Materials as "Confidential" on each page of the Confidential Discovery Materials to be deemed Confidential, or, in the case of depositions, as provided in paragraph 18 below. When Confidential Discovery Materials are disclosed in a form not appropriate for such placing or affixing, they shall be

designated in writing as Confidential at the time they are delivered to the receiving party, except in the case of the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials, which shall be designated confidential in the manner set forth in paragraph 4 of this Stipulation and Order.

- Inadvertent failure to designate materials as Confidential at the time of 13. production may be remedied by supplemental written notice by the Disclosing Party. If such notice is given, all documents, materials or testimony so designated shall be subject to this Stipulation and Order as if they had been initially designated as Confidential to the extent that such documents, materials or testimony fall within the definition of Confidential Discovery Materials, provided, however, that the foregoing provision shall not apply to any documents that had already otherwise become publicly available.
- In producing and making the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials available 14. for inspection and copying, or authorizing the production of documents held by former counsel to Refco relating to their representation of Refco and its affiliates, the Trustee does not waive: (a) any claim of privilege that a Refco Non-Debtor could assert over a Refco Non-Debtor document that is maintained in the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials ("Refco Non-Debtor Privilege"); or (b) any claim of privilege in connection with litigations involving any Refco entity that was pending as of October 9, 2005 (the "Open Litigation Privilege"). The parties agree that production and disclosure of the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials - whether produced by the Trustee or produced by any other custodians of such documents, including former counsel to Refco - shall, in no circumstance or eventuality, result in any waiver of the Refco Non-Debtor Privilege or Open Litigation Privilege.
- Counsel for the Trustee will advise the parties in writing in a timely 15. manner of any documents have been produced for inspection and copying (either by the Trustee

or by another custodian of Refco Debtor Discovery Materials) that the Trustee believes, or comes to believe, are subject to the Refco Non-Debtor Privilege or Open Litigation Privilege. To the extent that any party obtaining access to the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials believes, that a particular document appears reasonably likely to contain information that is subject to a claim of Refco Non-Debtor Privilege or Open Litigation Privilege the party will inform Trustee's counsel. Trustee's counsel will review the document(s) and, if the Trustee determines to assert a claim of privilege, the Trustee and the parties will follow the procedures for inadvertent disclosure set forth below in paragraphs 16-17.

16. Any inadvertent or mistaken production of material that a Disclosing Party claims is subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, or any other privilege or protection from disclosure shall not operate to waive such privilege or protection from disclosure. Within five (5) business days of learning that a document subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, or any other privilege or protection from disclosure has been inadvertently or mistakenly produced, the Disclosing Party shall notify the party or parties to whom the document was produced in writing of the fact of inadvertent disclosure, the date inadvertent disclosure was first discovered, and the circumstances surrounding that discovery. Within five (5) business days of receiving such written notice, the party or parties to whom the document was produced shall either: i) notify the undersigned counsel for the Disclosing Party in writing of an objection to the designation of such material as privileged, or ii) return all copies of such discovery material to the undersigned counsel for the Disclosing Party. A party's election to return the Discovery Material in accordance with this paragraph shall be without prejudice to that party's right to challenge, at a later date, the claim of

NY2:#4768000

privilege with respect to such Discovery Material. If, at any time, a party notifies counsel for a Disclosing Party in writing of an objection to a claim of privilege with respect to a document that was inadvertently produced, then within seven (7) calendar days of the receipt of such notification, counsel for the Disclosing Party and the objecting party or parties shall meet-andconfer in an effort to resolve any disagreement regarding the Disclosing Party's claim of privilege. If, for whatever reason, the parties do not resolve their disagreement within that time period, the dispute shall be submitted to the Court for resolution in accordance with the procedure set forth in paragraph 23 below.

- Upon receiving written notice of inadvertent disclosure from a Disclosing 17. Party, the party or parties to whom the document was produced shall not thereafter use that discovery material or the information contained therein for any purpose, unless and until (a) the designation of privilege is deemed lifted pursuant to paragraph 23 below, or (b) the Court determines either that the document is not protected from disclosure or that any such protection was waived.
- A Disclosing Party may designate as Confidential any portion of a 18. deposition transcript deemed to contain such material. The Disclosing Party shall advise the court reporter and counsel of record of the beginning and end of the testimony containing Confidential Discovery Material either orally at the deposition or in writing no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after receipt of a deposition transcript. During such fifteen-day period, the parties shall treat the entire transcript as Confidential. The reporter shall mark "CONFIDENTIAL" on the face of the transcript at the beginning and end of any portions thereof designated as such. At the request of any party, the court reporter shall prepare a separate, original transcript that does not contain the Confidential Discovery Material. Copies of the

10

transcript for counsel's use may contain both the Confidential testimony and other testimony in a single volume.

- 19. All non-parties, and their employees, to whom Confidential Discovery Materials are disclosed pursuant to subparagraphs 10(f) or 10(g) as applicable above shall, prior to disclosure, be advised of the contents of this Stipulation and Order and that the Confidential Discovery Materials are being disclosed pursuant to the terms of this Stipulation and Order and may not be disclosed other than pursuant to the terms hereof. All such persons shall be required to execute a certification evidencing agreement with the terms of the Stipulation and Order in the form attached as Exhibit A, which shall be retained by counsel for the party who provided the Discovery Materials to such persons.
- In the event that counsel for any party or non-party determines to file in or 20. submit to this Court any Confidential Discovery Materials, information derived therefrom, or any papers containing or making reference to such information, the pages containing such Confidential Discovery Materials shall be filed only in sealed envelopes or other appropriately sealed containers on which shall be endorsed the caption of the relevant action and which shall clearly bear the stamp "CONFIDENTIAL." All materials filed under seal shall be available to the Court and to counsel for the parties to the Related Actions for viewing and/or copying. Filing under seal shall be without prejudice to any party's right to argue to the Court that such document is not Confidential and need not be preserved under seal. Redacted pages shall be filed in the public record.
- If, at any time, any Discovery Materials governed by this Stipulation and 21. Order are subpoenaed by any court, administrative or legislative body, or by any other person or entity purporting to have authority to require the production thereof, the person to whom the

subpoena is directed, to the extent permitted by law, shall promptly give written notice to the Disclosing Party and include with such notice a copy of the subpoena or request. The person to whom the subpoena is directed also shall make all reasonable good faith efforts to provide the Disclosing Party a reasonable period of time (in no event less than ten (10) business days) in which to seek to quash the subpoena, or to move for any protection for the Discovery Materials, before the person to whom the subpoena is directed takes any action to comply with the subpoena.

No party shall be obligated to challenge the propriety of a designation of 22. Discovery Materials as Confidential when initially received, and a failure to do so shall not preclude a subsequent challenge thereto. If, at any time, a party objects to a designation of Discovery Materials as Confidential (including any designations with respect to the Refco Debtor Discovery Materials made by the Trustee) under this Stipulation and Order, the objecting party shall notify the Disclosing Party in writing. Within seven (7) calendar days of the receipt of such notification, counsel for the Disclosing Party and the objecting party shall meet-andconfer in an effort to resolve any disagreement regarding the Disclosing Party's designation of the Discovery Materials as Confidential. If, for whatever reason, the parties do not resolve their disagreement within that time period, the parties shall submit their dispute to the Court for resolution in accordance with the procedures set forth in paragraph 23 below. While any such application is pending, the documents or materials subject to that application will be treated as Confidential until the Court rules. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be construed as preventing any party from objecting to the designation of any documents as Confidential or preventing any party from seeking further protection for any material it produces in discovery.

- 23. In the event of a dispute regarding the propriety of a Disclosing Party's (a) designation of particular Discovery Materials as "Confidential" (including materials designated Confidential pursuant to the Rule 2004 Amended Discovery Protective Order or Underwriter Rule 2004 Documents), or (b) assertion of a privilege with respect to inadvertently produced documents, which dispute is not resolved through the meet-and-confer described in paragraphs 16 or 22, the dispute shall be submitted to the Court for resolution as follows: Within fifteen (15) calendar days after the meet-and-confer, or by such other deadline as may be agreed to in writing by the Disclosing Party, the party objecting to the claim of privilege or confidentiality shall provide counsel for the Disclosing Party with a draft of a joint letter to the Court which sets forth the objecting party's position regarding the dispute. Within seven (7) business days after receipt of that draft letter, or by such other deadline as may be agreed to in writing by the objecting party, the Disclosing Party shall provide counsel for the objecting party with an insert for the letter, in electronic format, which sets forth the Disclosing Party's position. If the Disclosing Party fails to provide the insert within the time period prescribed in this paragraph, the designation of the Discovery Materials as "Confidential" or privileged shall be deemed lifted. Nothing herein shall be deemed to change the burdens of proof established by applicable law.
- 24. In the event that a Disclosing Party produces two or more identical copies of a document of which at least one copy is designated as Confidential and at least one copy is not so designated, once such a discrepancy is actually discovered by the receiving party, all copies of the document shall be treated as "Confidential."
- 25. The terms of this Stipulation and Order shall remain in full force and effect until the final resolution of each and every one of the Related Actions, that is until all appeals involving the Related Actions have been exhausted, the time to appeal in each of the

Related Actions has expired, or the parties in each of the Related Actions have reached a final, court-approved settlement of all pending claims between them ("Final Resolution"). The resolution of any individual action shall not relieve the parties of their responsibilities and obligations under this Stipulation and Order. Upon Final Resolution, all recipients of Confidential Discovery Materials shall either (a) use commercially reasonable efforts to destroy such materials and provide a certification to the Disclosing Party stating that the Disclosing Party's documents have been destroyed; or (b) return such materials to the Disclosing Party at the Disclosing Party's request. This obligation shall not apply to pleadings, motions, briefs, supporting affidavits and other court filings, or attorney work product, correspondence, transcripts or Court opinions and orders (although the restrictions imposed herein continue to apply to such retained documents).

- This Stipulation and Order shall not be construed to affect in any way the 26. admissibility of any document, testimony or other evidence at any trial or hearing of the Related Actions.
- 27. Each party shall have the responsibility, through counsel, to promptly advise the Disclosing Party of any losses or compromises of the confidentiality of information or documents governed by this Stipulation and Order. It shall be the responsibility of the party that lost or compromised the Confidential Discovery Materials to take reasonable measures to limit the loss or unauthorized disclosure.
- 28. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be construed to limit any Disclosing Party's use or disclosure of its own documents, materials or information that have been designated as Confidential pursuant to this Stipulation and Order. In addition, nothing in

this Stipulation and Order shall prevent or in any way limit disclosure, use or dissemination of any documents, information or material that:

- was, is or becomes public knowledge, not in breach of this Stipulation and (a) Order; or
- was acquired by a party from a non-party having the right to disclose such (b) information or material or was learned by a party as a result of that party's own independent efforts, investigation or inquiry.
- This Stipulation and Order shall not prejudice in any way the rights of any 29. party to introduce into evidence at any trial of the Related Actions any document, testimony or other evidence subject to this Stipulation and Order that is otherwise admissible, or prejudice in any way the rights of any party to object to the authenticity or admissibility into evidence of any document, testimony or other evidence subject to this Stipulation and Order.
- 30. Any non-party producing Discovery Materials in the Related Actions may be included in this Stipulation and Order by endorsing a copy of this Stipulation and Order and delivering it to the requesting party who, in turn, will file it with the Court and serve it upon counsel for the other parties. The parties to the Related Actions may designate Discovery Materials produced by other parties or non-parties as Confidential in accordance and consistent with the terms and provisions of this Stipulation and Order.
- 31. In the event that additional persons become parties to the Related Actions, or in the event additional related cases are commenced, such parties shall not have access to Confidential Discovery Materials produced by or obtained from any Disclosing Party until the new parties or their counsel confirm in writing to all other parties that they have read this Stipulation and Order and agree to be bound by its terms.

- 32. This Stipulation and Order shall not prevent any party from applying to the Court for further or additional protective orders, for the modification of this Stipulation and Order, or from agreeing with the other parties to modify this Order, subject to approval of the Court.
- 33. This Stipulation and Order supersedes in its entirety the Stipulation and Order previously entered into in In re Refco, Inc. Securities Litigation, 05 Civ. 8626 (GEL), as so ordered by the Court on July 23, 2007 (the "July Order"). The addition to this Stipulation and Order of provisions related to the production of Refco Debtor Discovery Materials shall not raise any inference adverse to the rights of the initial parties to the July Order.

DONE and ORDERED this 8 day of Feb 2008

United States District Judge

Consented and agreed to by:

Scott Edelman
Sander Bak
Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP
1 Chase Manhattan Plaza
New York, NY 10005
(212) 530-5000
(212) 530-5219 (facsimile)
Counsel to Marc Kirschner as Trustee of the
Refco Litigation Trust and Refco Private
Actions Trust

Michael Carlinsky
Sascha Rand
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver &
Hedges, LLP
51 Madison Avenue 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010
(212) 849-7000
(212) 849-7100 (facsimile)
Counsel to Marc Kirschner as Trustee of the
Refco Litigation Trust and Refco Private
Actions Trust

Exhibit A

This Document Relates To:

In re REFCO, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

05 Civ. 8626 (GEL)

AMERICAN FINANCIAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP- ASIA, LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

05 Civ. 8988 (GEL)

vs.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY FUND V, L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

05 Civ. 9608 (GEL)

vs.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

In re REFCO CAPITAL MARKETS, LTD. BROKERAGE CUSTOMER SECURITIES LITIGATION

06 Civ. 643 (GEL)

THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY FUND V, L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 6767 (GEL)

VS.

MAYER BROWN ROWE & MAW LLP, et al.,

Defendants.

THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY FUND V, L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 8663 (GEL)

VS.

GRANT THORNTON LLP,

Defendants.

AXIS REINSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 7924 (GEL)

vs.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

In re REFCO, INC.

07 Civ. 9420 (GEL)

VR GLOBAL PARTNERS, L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 8686 (GEL)

VS.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SELECT FUND LTD., et al.,

07 Civ. 8688 (GEL)

vs.

PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,

Defendants.

MARC S. KIRSCHNER, as Trustee of the Refco Litigation Trust,

Plaintiffs,

07 Civ. 7074(GEL)

vs.

THOMAS H. LEE PARTNERS, L.P., et al.,

Defendants.

MARC S. KIRSCHNER, as Trustee of the Refco Private Action Trust,	
Plaintiffs,	07 Civ. 8165 (GEL)
vs.	
PHILLIP R. BENNETT, et al.,	
Defendants.	
MARC S. KIRSCHNER, as Trustee of the Refco Litigation Trust,	
Plaintiffs,	07 Civ. 9238 (GEL)
vs.	
THOMAS HACKL, et al.,	
Defendants.	
MARC S. KIRSCHNER, as Trustee of the Refco Litigation Trust,	
Plaintiffs,	07 Civ. 11604 (GEL)
vs.	
GRANT THORNTON, LLP, et al.,	
Defendants.	
CERTIFICATION CONCERNING CONFI COVERED BY STIPULATION A	
I,, declare that:	
1. 1 have received a copy of the Stipulation and O	rder in the Related Actions, and I have
carefully read and understand its provisions. I acknowledge that	t I am one of the persons contemplated in
the Stipulation and Order as permitted access to information des	signated "Confidential" by a Disclosing

Party.

- 2. I will comply with all of the provisions of the Stipulation and Order, I will hold in confidence, will not disclose to anyone other than those persons specifically authorized by the Stipulation and Order, and will not copy or use for purposes other than for the Related Actions any information designated "Confidential" that I receive in the Related Actions, except to the extent that such information designated "Confidential" is or becomes public domain information or otherwise is not deemed "Confidential" in accordance with the Stipulation and Order.
- I agree to subject myself personally to the jurisdiction of the Court for the purpose of 3. proceedings relating to my performance under, compliance with, or violation of this Stipulation and Order.
- 4. I understand that disclosure of information designated "Confidential" in violation of this Stipulation and Order may constitute contempt of court.
 - I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 5.

Date	Signature

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
	:	Case No. 07-MD-1902 (GEL)
In re REFCO, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	:	
	:	
	X	
		_

This Document Relates To:

KENNETH M. KRYS and CHRISTOPHER STRIDE, as JOINT OFFICIAL LIQUIDATORS of SPHINX LTD., SPHINX STRATEGY FUND LTD.; SPHINX PLUS SPC LTD., SPHINX DISTRESSED LTD., SPHINX MERGER ARBITRAGE LTD.; SPHINX SPECIAL SITUATIONS LTD., SPHINX MACRO LTD.; SPHINX LONG/SHORT EQUITY LTD.; SPHINX MANAGED FUTURES LTD.; SPHINX EQUITY MARKET NEUTRAL LTD.; SPHINX CONVERTIBLE ARBITRAGE LTD.; SPHINX FIXED INCOME ARBITRAGE LTD.; SPHINX DISTRESSED FUND SPC; SPHINX MERGER ARBITRAGE FUND SPC; SPHINX SPECIAL SITUATIONS FUND SPC; SPHINX MACRO FUND SPC; SPHINX LONG/SHORT EQUITY FUND SPC; SPHINX MANAGED FUTURES FUND SPC; SPHINX EQUITY MARKET NEUTRAL FUND SPC; SPHINX CONVERTIBLE ARBITRAGE FUND SPC; SPHINX FIXED INCOME ARBITRAGE FUND SPC; PLUSFUNDS MANAGED ACCESS FUND SPC LTD.; KENNETH M. KRYS and CHRISTOPHER STRIDE as assignees of claims assigned by MIAMI CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL FOUNDATION, OFI, GREEN & SMITH INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT LLC, THALES FUND MANAGEMENT LLC, KELLNER DILEO & CO., LLC, MARTINGALE ASSET MANAGEMENT LP, LONGACRE FUND MANAGEMENT LLC, ARNHOLD & S. BLEICHROEDER ADVISERS LLC, PICTET & CIE, RGA AMERICA REINSURANCE COMPANY, DEUTSCHE BANK (SUISSE) SA, ARAB MONETARY FUND, HANSARD INTERNATIONAL LTD., CONCORDIA

Case No. 08-cv-3065 (GEL)

Case No. 08-cv-3086 (GEL)

ENDORSEMENT

ADVISORS LLC, GABELLI SECURITIES, INC., CITCO GLOBAL CUSTODY; and JAMES P. SINCLAIR as Trustee of the SPHINX TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CHRISTOPHER SUGRUE; MARK KAVANAGH; BRIAN OWENS; PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS L.L.P.; MARI FERRIS: PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CAYMAN ISLANDS; GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP; REFCO ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS LLC; GRANT THORNTON LLP; MARK RAMLER; ERNST & YOUNG U.S. LLP; MAYER BROWN LLP f/k/a MAYER BROWN ROWE & MAW LLP: JOSEPH COLLINS; EDWARD S. BEST; PAUL KOURY; PHILLIP R. BENNETT; ROBERT C. TROSTEN; TONE GRANT; SANTO MAGGIO; THOMAS HACKL; DENNIS KLEJNA; BAWAG P.S.K. BANK FUR ARBEIT UND WIRTSCHAFT UND OSTERREICHISCHE POSTPARKASSE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT; JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.: CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC f/k/a CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON LLC; BANC OF AMERICA SECURITIES LLC; THOMAS H. LEE PARTNERS, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE ADVISORS, LLC; THL MANAGERS V, LLC; THL EQUITY ADVISORS V, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY FUND V, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE PARALLEL FUND V, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE EQUITY (CAYMAN) FUND V, L.P.; THOMAS H. LEE INVESTORS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; 1997 THOMAS H. LEE NOMINEE TRUST; THOMAS H. LEE; DAVID V. HARKINS; SCOTT L. JAECKEL; SCOTT A. SCHOEN; WILLIAM T. PIGOTT; LIBERTY CORNER CAPITAL STRATEGIES, LLC; EMF FINANCIAL PRODUCTS LLC; EMF CORE FUND LTD.; DELTA FLYER FUND LLC; ERIC M. FLANAGAN; INGRAM MICRO, INC.; CIM VENTURES, INC.; BECKENHAM TRADING CO., INC.; ANDREW KRIEGER; COAST

ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC, f/k/a COAST ASSET MANAGEMENT LP; CS LAND MANAGEMENT LLC; CHRISTOPHER PETTIT; and REFCO GROUP HOLDINGS, INC.; and REFCO ASSOCIATES, INC.,

Defendants.

____X

Pursuant to Paragraph 30 of the Amended Stipulation and Agreed Confidentiality Order (the "Stipulation and Order"), entered by the Honorable Gerard E. Lynch on February 8, 2008, in In re Refco, Inc. Securities Litigation, 05 Civ. 8626 (GEL) (and other cases), Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte & Touche") hereby endorses and joins in the Stipulation and Order for the sole purpose of assuring the full protections of such Stipulation and Order with respect to its third-party document production, including but not limited to the notice and other comparable provisions set forth at paragraphs 21 and 27, and for no other purpose, and, accordingly, Deloitte & Touche's production of documents herein shall be accorded the full such protections of the Stipulation and Order consistent with its terms and provisions.

Dated: New York, New York July 31, 2008

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Roland S. Young

Counsel for Deloitte & Touche LLP

Deloitte LLP 1633 Broadway

New York, NY 10019-6754 Telephone: 212-492-4000

Facsimile: 212-492-4201